listed above. As provided in § 71.15(b), the agency will delete from the documents any materials that are not available for public disclosure before making the documents available for inspection.

The agency has previously considered the environmental effects of this rule and announced its conclusion in the Notice of Filing for CAP 5C0245 (60 FR 20997). No new information or comments have been received that would affect the agency's conclusion that there is no significant impact on the human environment and that an environmental impact statement is not required.

Any person who will be adversely affected by this regulation may at any time on or before November 9, 1995, file with the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written objections thereto. Each objection shall be separately numbered, and each numbered objection shall specify with particularity the provisions of the regulation to which objection is made and the grounds for the objection. Each numbered objection on which a hearing is requested shall specifically so state. Failure to request a hearing for any particular objection shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on that objection. Each numbered objection for which a hearing is requested shall include a detailed description and analysis of the specific factual information intended to be presented in support of the objection in the event that a hearing is held. Failure to include such a description and analysis for any particular objection shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on the objection. Three copies of all documents shall be submitted and shall be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Any objections received in response to the regulation may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. FDA will publish notice of the objections that the agency has received or lack thereof in the Federal Register.

## List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 73

Color additives, Cosmetics, Drugs, Medical devices.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Director, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, 21 CFR part 73 is amended as follows:

# PART 73—LISTING OF COLOR ADDITIVES EXEMPT FROM CERTIFICATION

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 201, 401, 402, 403, 409, 501, 502, 505, 601, 602, 701, 721 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321, 341, 342, 343, 348, 351, 352, 355, 361, 362, 371, 379e).

2. Section 73.250 is amended by removing the first sentence of paragraph (a)(1) and adding two new sentences in its place to read as follows:

#### §73.250 Fruit juice.

(a) *Identity*. (1) The color additive fruit juice is prepared either by expressing the juice from mature varieties of fresh, edible fruits, or by the water infusion of the dried fruit. The color additive may be concentrated or dried. \* \* \*

3. Section 73.260 is amended by removing the first sentence of paragraph (a)(1) and adding two new sentences in its place to read as follows:

# §73.260 Vegetable juice.

(a) *Identity*. (1) The color additive vegetable juice is prepared either by expressing the juice from mature varieties of fresh, edible vegetables, or by the water infusion of the dried vegetable. The color additive may be concentrated or dried. \* \* \*

Dated: September 28, 1995.

# Fred R. Shank,

Director, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 95–24953 Filed 10–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# 40 CFR Part 271

[FRL-5306-1]

Oregon: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Immediate final rule and public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** Oregon has applied for final authorization of revisions to its hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed Oregon's application and has made a

decision, subject to public review and comment, that Oregon's hazardous waste program revision satisfies all of the requirements necessary to qualify for final authorization. Thus, EPA approves Oregon's hazardous waste program revisions. Oregon's application for program revision is available for public review and comment.

**DATES:** Authorization of the revised program shall become effective on December 7, 1995, unless significant adverse comments on Oregon's program revision application are received by the close of business on November 8, 1995.

If the effective date is delayed, timely notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of Oregon's program revision application are available, Monday to Friday, from 9 AM to 4 PM at the following addresses for inspection and copying: Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Eighth Floor Reception, 811 SW Sixth Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204. Telephone number: (503) 229-6534. U.S. EPA Region 10 Library, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101. Telephone number: (206) 553-1259. Written comments and questions should be directed to René Dagseth, HW 107, EPA, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Renée Dagseth, 206–553–1889.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### A. Background

States with final authorization under Section 3006(b) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA" or "the Act"), 42 U.S.C. 6926(b), have a continuing obligation to maintain a hazardous waste program that is equivalent to, consistent with, and no less stringent than the Federal hazardous waste program.

Revisions to State hazardous waste programs are necessary when Federal or State statutory or regulatory authority is modified or when certain other changes occur. Most commonly, State program revisions are necessitated by changes to EPA's regulations in 40 CFR Parts 260-266, 268, 124 and 270. In this case, Oregon has applied for authorization of its corrective action program, including rules which are equivalent to the Federal program described in 40 CFR 264.100. Oregon also has requested authorization for the use of corrective action management units (CAMUs). As a result of this action, the majority of future RCRA permits will be issued by Oregon.

# B. Oregon

Oregon's RCRA base program was authorized and became effective on January 30, 1986. Revisions to Oregon's RCRA program were authorized and became effective on May 29, 1990; October 4, 1994; and, August 15, 1995. Oregon submitted a program revision application on August 1, 1995 for additional program approvals. Today, Oregon is seeking approval of its program revision in accordance with 40 CFR 271.21(b)(4).

EPA has reviewed Oregon's application, and has made an immediate final decision, subject to public review and comment, that Oregon's hazardous

waste program revision satisfies all of the requirements necessary to qualify for final authorization. Consequently, EPA grants Oregon final authorization for the additional program modifications. The public may submit written comments on EPA's decision up until November 8, 1995. Copies of Oregon's application for program revision are available for inspection and copying at the locations indicated in the ADDRESSES section of this notice.

Approval of Oregon's program revision shall become effective December 7, 1995, unless an adverse comment pertaining to the State's revision discussed in this document is received by the end of the comment period. If an adverse comment is received, EPA will publish either (1) a withdrawal of the immediate final decision or (2) a notice containing a response to comments which either affirms that the immediate final decision takes effect or reverses the decision.

# C. Description of Oregon's Program

Oregon's revision application includes rules which pertain to corrective action through the permits process and corrective action management units (CAMUs). Oregon is applying for authorization of the following Federal hazardous waste regulations.

Federal requirement	State authority*
HSWA Codification Rule: Corrective Action, 50 FR 28702 7/15/85; RCRA 3004(v)(1)(2).	OAR 340-100-002(1), effective 5/95.
HSWA Codification Rule: Pre-Construction Ban, 50 FR 28702, 7/15/85	OAR 340–100–002(1), effective 5/95; OAR 340–105–010, effective 3/91; OAR 340–105–115, effective 8/85.
HSWA Codification Rule: Interim Status, 50 FR 28702, 7/15/95	OAR 340–100–002(1), effective 5/95; OAR 340–105–010, effective 3/95.
HSWA Codification Rule 2: Permit Application Requirements Regarding Corrective Action, 52 FR 45788, 12/1/87.	OAR 340-100-002(1), effective 5/95.
HSWA Codification Rule 2: Corrective Action Beyond Facility Boundary, 52 FR 45788, 12/1/87.	OAR 340-100-002(1), effective 5/95.
HSWA Codification Rule 2: Corrective Action for Injection Wells, 52 FR 45788, 12/1/87.	OAR 340-100-002(1), effective 5/95; OAR 340-44-015, effective 8/83.
Changes to Interim Status Facilities for Hazardous Waste Management Permits; Procedures for Post-Closure Permitting, 54 FR 9596, 3/7/89.	OAR 340–100–002(1), effective 5/95; OAR 340–105–001(3), effective 3/91; OAR 340–105–010, effective 3/91; OAR 340–106–002, effective 7/85.
Corrective Action Management Units and Temporary Units; Corrective Action Provisions Under Subtitle C, 58 FR 8658, 2/93.	OAR 340-100-002(1), effective 5/95.

<sup>\*</sup>The rules referenced are part of the Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR).

Some portions of Oregon's revised program are broader in scope than the Federal program, and thus are not Federally enforceable. Of the State regulations cited above, OAR 340-105-014 may be broader in scope than the Federal program when Oregon requests that facilities provide information relevant to State-only requirements such as zoning. The same regulation may be more stringent than the Federal 40 CFR 270.14 if, for example, Oregon requests permitted facility operators to provide information which is at a greater level of detail than the Federal program requirements.

# D. Status of Federal Permits

Upon the effective date of authorization, Oregon will begin to administer and enforce corrective action requirements. EPA actions which fall under the Federal omnibus authority of section 3005(c)(3) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6925(c)(3), and/or which are federal enforcement authority, including actions issued pursuant to sections 3008 (h), 3013, or 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6928(h), 6934 or 6973, will continue to

be adminstered by EPA. In addition, HSWA amendments for which the State has not been authorized will continue to be adminstered and enforced by EPA.

After this authorization becomes effective, an orderly transition of permits to the state will ensue. Facilities operating under a joint EPA-State permit, and whose permits contain a table of authorities, will be notified that the State is assuming authority over the permit. HSWA provisions for which the State is not authorized will continue in effect under EPA-issued permits until the State is authorized for those provisions and the facility is notified that the State is assuming authority over the permit.

### **E. Indian Lands**

Oregon is not seeking authorization to operate on Indian lands.

# F. Decision

I conclude that Oregon's application for program revision meets all of the statutory and regulatory requirements established by RCRA. Accordingly, Oregon is granted final authorization to operate its hazardous waste program, as revised.

Oregon now has responsibility for permitting treatment, storage, and disposal facilities within its borders and for carrying out the aspects of the RCRA program described in its revised program application, subject to the limitations of the HSWA. Oregon also has primary enforcement responsibilities, although EPA retains the right to conduct inspections under Section 3007 of RCRA and to take enforcement actions under Section 3008, 3013, and 7003 of RCRA.

# Compliance With Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 6 of Executive Order 12866.

# Certification Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act

Pursuant to the provisions of 4 U.S.C. 605(b), I hereby certify that this authorization will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial

number of small entities. It does not impose any new burdens on small entities. This rule, therefore, does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

**Authority:** This notice is issued under the authority of Sections 2002(a), 3006 and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, 6974(b).

Dated: September 22, 1995.

#### Chuck Clarke,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95–24270 Filed 10–6–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# **Bureau of Land Management**

43 CFR Public Land Order 7161

[OR-943-1430-01; GP5-102; OR-50376]

# Withdrawal of Public Land for Hunter Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern; Oregon

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management,

Interior.

**ACTION:** Public land order.

**SUMMARY:** This order withdraws 160 acres of public land from surface entry and mining for a period of 5 years for the Bureau of Land Management to protect the Hunter Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern. The land has been and will remain open to mineral leasing.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 10, 1995.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Betty McCarthy, BLM Oregon/ Washington State Office, P.O. Box 2965, Portland, Oregon 97208–2965, 503–952– 6155

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by Section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714 (1988), it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the following described public land is hereby withdrawn from settlement, sale, location, or entry under the general land laws, including the United States mining laws (30 U.S.C. Ch. 2 (1988)), but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws, to protect the Bureau of Land Management's Hunter Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern:

#### Willamette Meridian

T. 37 S., R. 14 W.,

Sec. 11, SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>;

Sec. 12, NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> and S<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>.

The area described contains 160 acres in Curry County.

2. The withdrawal made by this order does not alter the applicability of those

public land laws governing the use of the land under lease, license, or permit, or governing the disposal of their mineral or vegetative resources other than the mining laws.

3. This withdrawal will expire 5 years from the effective date of this order unless, as a result of a review conducted before the expiration date pursuant to Section 204(f) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714(f)(1988), the Secretary determines the withdrawal shall be extended.

Dated: September 15, 1995.

#### **Bob Armstrong**,

Assistant Secretary of the Interior. [FR Doc. 95–24957 Filed 10–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–33–P

#### 43 CFR Public Land Order 7162

[AZ-930-1430-01; AZA 13400, AZA 13401, AZA 13403]

Partial Revocation of Secretarial Orders Dated January 31, 1903, July 20, 1905, and March 14, 1929; Arizona

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management,

Interior.

**ACTION:** Public land order.

SUMMARY: This order partially revokes three Secretarial orders insofar as they affect 3,090.45 acres of public lands withdrawn for the Bureau of Reclamation's Colorado River Storage and Yuma Projects. The land is no longer needed for the purpose for which it was withdrawn. The revocation is needed to allow title to the lands to pass to the State of Arizona in accordance with the Arizona State Enabling Act. The lands will not be opened to surface entry or mining since the title will pass simultaneously with the revocation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 10, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Mezes, BLM Arizona State Office, P.O. Box 16563, Phoenix, Arizona 85011, 602–650–0240.

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by Section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714 (1988), it is ordered as follows:

1. The Secretarial Orders dated January 31, 1903, July 20, 1905, and March 14, 1929, which withdrew public lands for the Bureau of Reclamation's Yuma and Colorado River Storage Projects, are hereby revoked insofar as they affect the following described lands:

## Gila and Salt River Meridian

T. 8 S., R. 22 W.,

Sec. 16,  $E^{1/2}$ , and  $SW^{1/4}$ ; Sec. 36,  $N^{1/2}$ ,  $W^{1/2}SW^{1/4}$ , and  $W^{1/2}SE^{1/4}SW^{1/4}$ .

T. 8 S., R. 23 W.,

Sec. 36, N<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>.

T. 9 S., R. 23 W., Sec. 16, portion of S $^{1}$ /<sub>2</sub> (approximately 250 acres), and N $^{1}$ /<sub>2</sub>.

T. 9 S., R. 24 W.,

Sec. 16,  $NW^{1/4}NE^{1/4}$ ,  $W^{1/2}W^{1/2}NE^{1/4}NW^{1/4}$ ,  $W^{1/2}NW^{1/4}$ ,  $SE^{1/4}NW^{1/4}$ ,  $SW^{1/4}$ ,  $N^{1/2}SE^{1/4}$ , and  $SE^{1/4}SE^{1/4}$ ;

Sec. 36, E½NE¼NE¼, SW¼4NE¼4NE¼, E½SW¼NE¼, SE¼NE¼, NW¼, E½SW¼, E½NW¼4SW¼, NW¼4NW¼SW¼, S½SW¼NW¼SW¼, NW¼4NW¼SW¼, S½SW¼NW¼SW¼, SW¼4SW¼, and SE¼.

T. 10 S., R. 24 W.,

Sec. 16, E½, and S½NW¼.

T. 10 S., R. 25 W., Sec. 36, W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>.

#### San Bernardino Meridian

T. 16 S., R. 21 E.,

Sec. 36, lots 4, 5, and 8, and  $S^{1/2}NE^{1/4}$ . The areas described aggregate 3,090.45 acres in Yuma County.

2. The lands will not be opened to location or entry since title to the lands will pass to the State of Arizona simultaneously with the revocation.

Dated: September 15, 1995.

# **Bob Armstrong**,

Assistant Secretary of the Interior. [FR Doc. 95–24959 Filed 10–6–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-32-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Coast Guard**

46 CFR Part 160

[CGD 94-110]

RIN 2115-AE96

# Recreational Inflatable Personal Flotation Device Standards

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Interim rule with request for comments; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On June 23, 1995, the Coast Guard published a interim rule (60 FR 32836) establishing regulations for approval of inflatable personal flotation devices (PFDs) for recreational boaters. Because the Coast Guard wishes to consult with the National Boating Safety Advisory Council (NBSAC) on the response to comments at its upcoming meeting on October 30–31, 1995, the comment period is being extended for 14 additional days.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before November 6, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to the Executive Secretary, Marine Safety